

PCT

WORLD INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY ORGANIZATION
International Bureau

INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION PUBLISHED UNDER THE PATENT COOPERATION TREATY (PCT)

(51) International Patent Classification ⁷ : H04R 25/00	A2	(11) International Publication Number: WO 00/21332
		(43) International Publication Date: 13 April 2000 (13.04.00)
<p>(21) International Application Number: PCT/DK99/00536</p> <p>(22) International Filing Date: 7 October 1999 (07.10.99)</p> <p>(30) Priority Data: PA 1998 01274 7 October 1998 (07.10.98) DK</p> <p>(71) Applicant (for all designated States except US): OTICON A/S [DK/DK]; Strandvejen 58, DK-2900 Hellerup (DK).</p> <p>(72) Inventors; and (75) Inventors/Applicants (for US only): DANIELSEN, Finn [DK/DK]; Oticon A/S, Strandvejen 58, DK-2900 Hellerup (DK). SKOV, Ulrik [DK/DK]; Oticon A/S, Strandvejen 58, DK-2900 Hellerup (DK).</p>		<p>(81) Designated States: AU, CA, JP, US, European patent (AT, BE, CH, CY, DE, DK, ES, FI, FR, GB, GR, IE, IT, LU, MC, NL, PT, SE).</p> <p>Published <i>Without international search report and to be republished upon receipt of that report.</i></p>
<p>(54) Title: FEEDBACK MANAGEMENT FOR HEARING AID</p> <div> </div> <p>(57) Abstract</p> <p>The invention relates to a method of managing acoustic feedback in a hearing aid, characterised by using a multi (two or more) channel type of filter hereby splitting the frequency range up in two or more separate parts; determining the maximum allowable gain in at least one frequency range before feedback occurs; monitoring the volume control in such a way, that if the maximum allowable gain before feedback occurs is reached, the gain is manipulated for the offending frequency range. The determination of maximum allowable gain and the monitoring of the volume control is applied for several channels. The invention further relates to hearing aid implementing the method according to the method according to the invention.</p>		

FOR THE PURPOSES OF INFORMATION ONLY

Codes used to identify States party to the PCT on the front pages of pamphlets publishing international applications under the PCT.

AL	Albania	ES	Spain	LS	Lesotho	SI	Slovenia
AM	Armenia	FI	Finland	LT	Lithuania	SK	Slovakia
AT	Austria	FR	France	LU	Luxembourg	SN	Senegal
AU	Australia	GA	Gabon	LV	Latvia	SZ	Swaziland
AZ	Azerbaijan	GB	United Kingdom	MC	Monaco	TD	Chad
BA	Bosnia and Herzegovina	GE	Georgia	MD	Republic of Moldova	TG	Togo
BB	Barbados	GH	Ghana	MG	Madagascar	TJ	Tajikistan
BE	Belgium	GN	Guinea	MK	The former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia	TM	Turkmenistan
BF	Burkina Faso	GR	Greece	ML	Mali	TR	Turkey
BG	Bulgaria	HU	Hungary	MN	Mongolia	TT	Trinidad and Tobago
BJ	Benin	IE	Ireland	MR	Mauritania	UA	Ukraine
BR	Brazil	IL	Israel	MW	Malawi	UG	Uganda
BY	Belarus	IS	Iceland	MX	Mexico	US	United States of America
CA	Canada	IT	Italy	NE	Niger	UZ	Uzbekistan
CF	Central African Republic	JP	Japan	NL	Netherlands	VN	Viet Nam
CG	Congo	KE	Kenya	NO	Norway	YU	Yugoslavia
CH	Switzerland	KG	Kyrgyzstan	NZ	New Zealand	ZW	Zimbabwe
CI	Côte d'Ivoire	KP	Democratic People's Republic of Korea	PL	Poland		
CM	Cameroon	KR	Republic of Korea	PT	Portugal		
CN	China	KZ	Kazakstan	RO	Romania		
CU	Cuba	LC	Saint Lucia	RU	Russian Federation		
CZ	Czech Republic	LI	Liechtenstein	SD	Sudan		
DE	Germany	LK	Sri Lanka	SE	Sweden		
DK	Denmark	LR	Liberia	SG	Singapore		
EE	Estonia						

TITLE

Feedback management for hearing aid

5

BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

The present invention relates to a method of managing acoustic feedback in hearing aids. Feedback is a problem, which occurs in a hearing aid when the acoustic output signal from the hearing aid propagates beside an ear mould or through a vent and consequently enter the hearing aid microphone as an acoustic input. The hereby closed acoustic loop will especially for frequencies above 1-2 kHz often cause the hearing aid to howl, which disables the function of the hearing aid.

During time several attempts have been made to avoid or to reduce the feedback problems of hearing aids. Previously known methods comprise the use of a high frequency cut-off filter to reduce the gain for the feedback management. This method can have the form of an isolated filter to be individually adjusted, but suffer from a drawback in that when the volume control of the hearing aid is turned down and hereby reduces the gain of the feedback frequencies, the filter is still in action reducing the high frequency gain. Another known method comprises a combination of the volume control and a high frequency cut-off filter meaning that when the volume control is turned up and hereby increases the gain of the hearing aid, the filter goes gradually into action and reduces the high frequency gain. The drawbacks of this scheme being that until now this could not be individually adjusted and secondly that the steepness of this filter was a modest 6 dB/octave.

The objective of the present invention is to provide a method for feedback management, which reduces the feedback problems without the previously mentioned drawbacks.

SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

The objective of the invention is achieved by a method, which is characterised
5 by:

- using a multi (two or more) channel type of filter hereby splitting the frequency range up in two or more separate parts
- determining the maximum allowable gain in at least one frequency range
10 before feedback occurs
- monitoring the volume control in such a way, that if the maximum allowable gain before feedback occurs is reached or exceeded, the gain is manipulated for an offending frequency range.

15 By such a method the above mentioned drawbacks of the previously known methods are remedied and the feedback management may be adjusted individually for the actual user.

20 In a further embodiment the determining of the maximum gain before feedback occurs and the controlling the volume control is applied for several channels.

By using a multi channel type of filter it is possible by proper selection of the crossover frequencies to use the same type of filter for both feedback and adaption purposes. Using the same filter for both purposes reduces the size of
25 the amplifier and to some extent also the cost of the amplifier.

A 1st or preferably higher order multi channel filter can be used for feedback management and adaptation purposes. This filter has shown to possess adequate properties for the purpose of the method according to the invention.

30

According to the invention the hearing aid comprises a housing containing a microphone, an amplifier in connection with the microphone, a receiver in

connection with the amplifier, where the amplifier comprises a multi channel type of filter, a control system for monitoring the gain in at least one channel, and control means for controlling the volume control in the at least one channel.

5

In a further embodiment the determining of the maximum gain before feedback occurs and the controlling the volume control is applied for several channels.

In a preferred embodiment the multi channel filter is adapted for both
10 feedback management and adaptation purposes.

The invention will be explained more detailed in the following with reference to the drawings.

15

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

FIG. 1-2 are drawings showing the function of a method according to the invention:

20

DESCRIPTION OF THE PREFERRED EMBODIMENT

25 In the FIGS. 1 to 2 the multi channel filter has as an example been chosen to be a two channel type filter along with a suitable crossover frequency.

From FIG. 1 a situation appears where the feedback management has been disabled and the volume control functions in a conventional manner. The
30 volume control comprise in this example 15 steps, each step defining a 2 dB increase or decrease of gain. All frequencies are subjected to the same increase or decrease of gain depending on the setting of the volume control.


From FIG. 2 a situation appears, where the maximum allowable gain in the high frequency channel before feedback occurs has been determined to volume control (VC) setting -12 dB. If the user exceeds this setting of the volume control, the control system takes over and for example keeps the gain in the high frequency channel constant and allows only an increase in gain for the low frequency channel.

The number of channels, step size, the number of steps etc. may in this connection be chosen different from the above example.


CLAIMS

1. A method of managing acoustic feedback in a hearing aid, characterised by
 - 5 • using a multi (two or more) channel type of filter hereby splitting the frequency range up in two or more separate parts
 - determining the maximum allowable gain in at least one frequency range before feedback occurs
 - monitoring the volume control in such a way, that if the maximum
 - 10 allowable gain before feedback occurs is reached or exceeded, the gain is manipulated for an offending frequency range.
2. A method according to claim 1, characterized in that the determination of maximum allowable gain and the monitoring of the volume control is applied
- 15 for several channels.
3. A method according to claim 1 or 2, characterised in that the multi channel filter is of 1. st order or higher.
- 20 4. A method according to claim 1, 2 or 3, characterised in that the multi channel filter is used for feedback management or for adaptation purposes or both.
5. A method according to any of the claims 1-4, characterized in that the gain
- 25 in a manipulated frequency range is kept constant or only increased slightly.
6. A hearing aid comprising a housing containing a microphone, an amplifier in connection with the microphone, a receiver in connection with the amplifier, characterized in that the amplifier comprises a multi channel type of
- 30 filter, a control system for monitoring the gain in at least one channel, and control means for controlling the volume control in the at least one channel.

7. A hearing aid according to claim 6, characterized in that the determining of the maximum gain before feedback occurs and the controlling the volume control is applied for several channels.
- 5 8. A hearing aid according to claim 6 or 7, characterized in that the multi channel filter is of 1. st order or higher order.
9. A hearing aid according to claim 6,7 or 8, characterized in that the multi channel filter is adapted for both feedback management and adaptation
10 purposes.



Figur 1



Figur 2

PCT

WORLD INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY ORGANIZATION
International Bureau



INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION PUBLISHED UNDER THE PATENT COOPERATION TREATY (PCT)

<p>(51) International Patent Classification ⁷ : H04R 25/00</p>	<p>A3</p>	<p>(11) International Publication Number: WO 00/21332 (43) International Publication Date: 13 April 2000 (13.04.00)</p>
<p>(21) International Application Number: PCT/DK99/00536 (22) International Filing Date: 7 October 1999 (07.10.99) (30) Priority Data: PA 1998 01274 7 October 1998 (07.10.98) DK (71) Applicant (for all designated States except US): OTICON A/S [DK/DK]; Strandvejen 58, DK-2900 Hellerup (DK). (72) Inventors; and (75) Inventors/Applicants (for US only): DANIELSEN, Finn [DK/DK]; Oticon A/S, Strandvejen 58, DK-2900 Hellerup (DK). SKOV, Ulrik [DK/DK]; Oticon A/S, Strandvejen 58, DK-2900 Hellerup (DK).</p>		<p>(81) Designated States: AU, CA, JP, US, European patent (AT, BE, CH, CY, DE, DK, ES, FI, FR, GB, GR, IE, IT, LU, MC, NL, PT, SE). Published With international search report. (88) Date of publication of the international search report: 27 July 2000 (27.07.00)</p>
<p>(54) Title: FEEDBACK MANAGEMENT FOR HEARING AID</p> <div><p>VC setting / dB</p><p>f / Hz</p></div> <p>(57) Abstract</p> <p>The invention relates to a method of managing acoustic feedback in a hearing aid, characterised by using a multi (two or more) channel type of filter hereby splitting the frequency range up in two or more separate parts; determining the maximum allowable gain in at least one frequency range before feedback occurs; monitoring the volume control in such a way, that if the maximum allowable gain before feedback occurs is reached, the gain is manipulated for the offending frequency range. The determination of maximum allowable gain and the monitoring of the volume control is applied for several channels. The invention further relates to hearing aid implementing the method according to the method according to the invention.</p>		

FOR THE PURPOSES OF INFORMATION ONLY

Codes used to identify States party to the PCT on the front pages of pamphlets publishing international applications under the PCT.

AL	Albania	ES	Spain	LS	Lesotho	SI	Slovenia
AM	Armenia	FI	Finland	LT	Lithuania	SK	Slovakia
AT	Austria	FR	France	LU	Luxembourg	SN	Senegal
AU	Australia	GA	Gabon	LV	Latvia	SZ	Swaziland
AZ	Azerbaijan	GB	United Kingdom	MC	Monaco	TD	Chad
BA	Bosnia and Herzegovina	GE	Georgia	MD	Republic of Moldova	TG	Togo
BB	Barbados	GH	Ghana	MG	Madagascar	TJ	Tajikistan
BE	Belgium	GN	Guinea	MK	The former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia	TM	Turkmenistan
BF	Burkina Faso	GR	Greece	ML	Mali	TR	Turkey
BG	Bulgaria	HU	Hungary	MN	Mongolia	TT	Trinidad and Tobago
BJ	Benin	IE	Ireland	MR	Mauritania	UA	Ukraine
BR	Brazil	IL	Israel	MW	Malawi	UG	Uganda
BY	Belarus	IS	Iceland	MX	Mexico	US	United States of America
CA	Canada	IT	Italy	NE	Niger	UZ	Uzbekistan
CF	Central African Republic	JP	Japan	NL	Netherlands	VN	Viet Nam
CG	Congo	KE	Kenya	NO	Norway	YU	Yugoslavia
CH	Switzerland	KG	Kyrgyzstan	NZ	New Zealand	ZW	Zimbabwe
CI	Côte d'Ivoire	KP	Democratic People's Republic of Korea	PL	Poland		
CM	Cameroon	KR	Republic of Korea	PT	Portugal		
CN	China	KZ	Kazakstan	RO	Romania		
CU	Cuba	LC	Saint Lucia	RU	Russian Federation		
CZ	Czech Republic	LI	Liechtenstein	SD	Sudan		
DE	Germany	LK	Sri Lanka	SE	Sweden		
DK	Denmark	LR	Liberia	SG	Singapore		
EE	Estonia						

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International Application No
PCT/DK 99/00536

A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER

IPC 7 H04R25/00

According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC

B. FIELDS SEARCHED

Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols)

IPC 7 H04R

Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched

Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practical, search terms used)

C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

Category *	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
Y	WO 96 35314 A (TOEPHOLM & WESTERMANN ;TOEPHOLM JAN (DK)) 7 November 1996 (1996-11-07) page 6, line 1 -page 12, line 5; figures	1-9
Y	WO 94 09604 A (GN DANAVOX AS ;HANSEN ROY SKOVGAARD (DK)) 28 April 1994 (1994-04-28) page 3, line 6 - line 30; figures	1-9
A	EP 0 342 782 A (CENTRAL INST DEAF) 23 November 1989 (1989-11-23) page 2, line 46 -page 4, line 10; figures	1,6
A	EP 0 339 819 A (CENTRAL INST DEAF) 2 November 1989 (1989-11-02) column 6, line 37 -column 7, line 54; figure 4	1

☐ Further documents are listed in the continuation of box C.

☒ Patent family members are listed in annex.

* Special categories of cited documents :

- "A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance
- "E" earlier document but published on or after the international filing date
- "L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)
- "O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means
- "P" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed

- "T" later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention
- "X" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone
- "Y" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art.
- "S" document member of the same patent family

Date of the actual completion of the international search

29 March 2000

Date of mailing of the international search report

05/04/2000

Name and mailing address of the ISA
European Patent Office, P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2
NL - 2280 HV Rijswijk
Tel. (+31-70) 340-2040, Tx. 31 651 epo nl,
Fax: (+31-70) 340-3018

Authorized officer

Gastaldi, G

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

information on patent family members

International Application No

PCT/DK 99/00536

Patent document cited in search report	Publication date	Patent family member(s)	Publication date
WO 9635314 A	07-11-1996	AT 171833 T	15-10-1998
		AU 698105 B	22-10-1998
		AU 2561695 A	21-11-1996
		DE 69505155 D	05-11-1998
		DE 69505155 T	15-04-1999
		EP 0824845 A	25-02-1998
		JP 11505077 T	11-05-1999
		US 5991417 A	23-11-1999
WO 9409604 A	28-04-1994	DK 128292 A	21-04-1994
		AU 5333394 A	09-05-1994
		EP 0671114 A	13-09-1995
		JP 8502396 T	12-03-1996
		US 5619580 A	08-04-1997
EP 0342782 A	23-11-1989	US 5016280 A	14-05-1991
		AU 3142189 A	28-09-1989
		CA 1326285 A	18-01-1994
		DE 68928538 D	19-02-1998
		DE 68928538 T	25-06-1998
		DK 144589 A	24-09-1989
		JP 1298899 A	01-12-1989
		JP 2921849 B	19-07-1999
		KR 142423 B	01-07-1998
		US 5357251 A	18-10-1994
		US 5475759 A	12-12-1995
		US 5111419 A	05-05-1992
		US 5225836 A	06-07-1993
EP 0339819 A	02-11-1989	US 5111419 A	05-05-1992
		AU 3245889 A	12-10-1989
		CA 1335674 A	23-05-1995
		DE 68919741 D	19-01-1995
		DE 68919741 T	20-04-1995
		DK 170789 A	12-10-1989
		JP 1316016 A	20-12-1989
		KR 138526 B	15-06-1998
		US 5357251 A	18-10-1994
		US 5475759 A	12-12-1995
		US 5225836 A	06-07-1993

**This Page is Inserted by IFW Indexing and Scanning
Operations and is not part of the Official Record**

BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images include but are not limited to the items checked:

- ☐ **BLACK BORDERS**
- ☐ **IMAGE CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES**
- ☐ **FADED TEXT OR DRAWING**
- ☒ **BLURRED OR ILLEGIBLE TEXT OR DRAWING**
- ☐ **SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES**
- ☐ **COLOR OR BLACK AND WHITE PHOTOGRAPHS**
- ☐ **GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS**
- ☐ **LINES OR MARKS ON ORIGINAL DOCUMENT**
- ☐ **REFERENCE(S) OR EXHIBIT(S) SUBMITTED ARE POOR QUALITY**
- ☐ **OTHER:** _____

IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.

As rescanning these documents will not correct the image problems checked, please do not report these problems to the IFW Image Problem Mailbox.